

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/504,813	. 02/16/2000	Shuji Goto	P99,2486	6161
26263	7590 10/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
P.O. BOX 061 WACKER DE	1080 RIVE STATION, SEAR	S TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60606-1080		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/504,813	GOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reaction of the company reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mmunication.  In statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A as after the mailing date of this communication, even it	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final. on for allowance except for formal mat	•	
closed in accordance with the pra-	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7,10-15 and 17 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 7,10-15 and 17 is/are rejuication (s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to rest considerable.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to by	/are withdrawn from consideration. ected. riction and/or election requirement. the Examiner.	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi  11) The oath or declaration is objected	ng the correction is required if the drawing to by the Examiner. Note the attache	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,
3. Copies of the certified copie	by documents have been received. By documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have been been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	1
Attachment(s)	<b></b>		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	(PTO-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	,

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 11, 2006 has been entered.

This Office action addresses claims 7, 10-15, and 17. The claims are newly rejected under 35 USC 103 as necessitated by amendment. This action is non-final.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, "fluoride" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 7, 10-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narang et al (U.S. Patent 6,168,885) in view of Schneider et al (U.S. Patent 6,180,281) in view of Gozdz et al (U.S. Patent 5,840,087) in view of Kumeuchi et al (U.S. Patent 6,156,080).

Application/Control Number: 09/504,813

Art Unit: 1745

Regarding claims 7 and 17, In Figure 1 and in column 11, lines 4-12, Narang et al. generally teach a process for making a battery comprising the steps of coating a negative electrode with electrolyte (26), coating a positive electrode with electrolyte (36), and laminating the two electrode/electrolyte sheets together under heat (42) so as to form a single, continuous electrolyte. The electrolyte layers contain a matrix polymer, plasticizer (solvent), and a lithium salt, and are gelled (see column 11, lines 7 and 8). The plasticizer may comprise ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethylcarbonate (DMC) (see column 10, lines 34-55), the salt may comprise LiPF<sub>6</sub>, LiBF<sub>4</sub>, and LiAsF<sub>6</sub>, among others (see col. 10, line 23), and the matrix polymer is preferably polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) (see col. 10, line 34).

Narang et al. do not expressly teach that the electrode/electrolyte sheets are wound prior to heat-treatment, or that the electrolyte layers are formed into a "seamless" layer, as recited in claims 7 and 17. The reference further does not expressly teach that both sides of each electrode are coated with electrolyte (claims 7 and 17), or the duration of the lamination (claim 10).

The patent of Schneider et al. is generally directed to composite separator and electrode structures comprising seamless interfaces between the separator and electrodes (see abstract).

It is submitted that the artisan would be motivated by the disclosure of Schneider et al. to form the electrolyte layers of Narang et al. into a "seamless" layer. In column 6, line 30 et seq., Schneider et al. teach that "the interfaces between the advancing polymer boundaries having merged to lose completely any independent identity. The resulting structure is very pliant, translucent, and smooth, but extraordinarily strong, as shown in the Examples." The reference further teaches in column 2, line 65 et seq. that "the resultant composite allows ions to freely

migrate from the electrode domain through the separator domain during successive charging and discharging of the battery." Accordingly, these teachings of Schneider et al. would motivate the artisan to form a "seamless" interface between the electrolyte layers of Narang et al. In addition, the patent of Gozdz et al. is taken as further evidence of electrolyte layers being laminated together to form a continuous seamless layer (see col. 6, line 43 of Gozdz).

The patent of Kumeuchi et al. is directed to methods of making electrode assemblies. In claim 47, the reference teaches a process comprising the steps of winding an electrode assembly, inserting the assembly into a bag (film pack), sealing the bag, and simultaneously heating and compressing the wound electrode assembly.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the process of Kumeuchi et al. to manufacture the battery of Narang et al., thereby resulting in the process of claims 7 and 17. In the abstract, Kumeuchi et al. teach the following:

trode sheet deposition. In accordance with the abovementioned method, it is possible to increase a cell capacity per a unit volume in a prismatic cell, because the electrode sheet can be wound further half turn or a plurality of times. In addition, it is also possible to increase an efficiency in a charging and discharging cycle, because a gap between the electrodes and the insulating sheet is made smaller, and a space in a center of the wound electrode sheet deposition is also made smaller, ensuring uniform reaction in the electrode.

Accordingly, the artisan would be motivated by this disclosure to wind and heat the electrode assembly of Narang et al. according the process of Kumeuchi, thereby rendering the claimed process steps obvious. It is further noted that Kumeuchi et al. teach a heating time of 30 minutes

Application/Control Number: 09/504,813 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

or less in claim 34 of the reference. Such disclosure renders obvious the claimed time of 10 minutes.

Regarding the limitation in claims 7 and 17 that both sides of both electrodes are coated with electrolyte, the artisan would be sufficiently motivated to perform this step with the electrodes of Narang et al. Narang et al. teach at column 11, line 9 that "as many layers as necessary can be laminated together to provide the desired capacity of the final electrochemical cell." This disclosure clearly indicates that both sides of each electrode may be coated (to result in, for example, a stacked cell configuration). Furthermore, as noted above, the artisan would be sufficiently motivated to use a spirally-wound configuration with the electrodes of Narang et al. In order to achieve such a configuration, the artisan would understand that an electrically insulating material would have to present on both sides of each electrode in order to prevent a short circuit. In view of Narang's teaching of multi-layer cells above, the coating of electrically insulating, ion-conductive electrolyte material on both sides of each electrode would be an obvious way of eliminating such a short circuit. Accordingly, this limitation would also be rendered obvious to the skilled artisan.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4. examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 09/504,813 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745 October 20, 2006